

Sediment Quality Triad Methodology

Censoring of Reference Data Sets: The reference data provide a measure of regional conditions against which to compare the biological response measures in LPR stations. In order to evaluate the effect of chemical contaminant exposure on the macroinvertebrate endpoint in the LPR, the reference dataset should be representative of all regional stressors that could affect macroinvertebrate community structure and population survival/growth metrics absent this stressor category. Accordingly, the reference datasets need to be censored by removing all stations that exhibit elevated contaminant concentrations. Each of the four reference data sets -- Above Dundee Dam (all data collected, not just the area closest to the dam), Jamaica Bay, Mullica River/Great Bay -- should have the following two methods employed to censor the dataset:

- I) Apply applicability criteria that include acceptable laboratory test survival and lack of sediment benchmark exceedances. Reference stations should be identified using the following criteria:
 - (1) laboratory bioassay results $\geq 80\%$ of negative control (estuarine); $\geq 75\%$ of negative control (freshwater)
 - (2) comparison to sediment quality criteria
 - a. Marine/Estuarine
 - i. no exceedances of Effect Range- Median (ER-M) benchmarks,
 - ii. no more than 3 exceedances of Effect Range – Low (ER-L) [see Weisberg et al 1998]
 - b. Freshwater [see MacDonald et al 2000]
 - i. Mean Probable effect concentration quotient (PECq) < 0.5

Benthic Community: There should be two comparisons made for the benthic community metrics (abundance, taxa richness, Shannon-Weiner, Pielou's, Swartz, and Hilsenhoff (freshwater)) once the reference data sets are appropriately censored. The first, as is done in the draft BERA, is to compare the means using a Mann-Whitney U test. The second is to compare each station to the 5th (or 95th depending on the metric) percentile of the reference data set. If the individual station is less than (or greater depending upon the metric) the reference value, it is considered impacted for that measure. Additional percentiles can be included in the uncertainty section to assist in bounding assessment uncertainties.

Benthic Toxicity: Sediment toxicity tests should be compared to the negative control and the appropriate reference datasets.

- 1) Statistical comparison to the negative control should use one of the following approaches depending on the dataset distribution:
 - a) one-tailed t-test (with equal or unequal variances)(Zar 1996).
 - b) The non-parametric test is the same one-tailed equal variance t-test but performed on the "rankit scores" (aka normal scores or normalized ranks). Rankit scores are used in parametric analyses to provide non-parametric alternatives (Conover 1980; Clarke and Brandon 1996).

The most appropriate test can be determined based on the outcome of a test for normality (Shapiro-Wilk's test on the residuals, $\alpha=0.05$) and Levene's test for equality of variances ($\alpha=0.05$) (Conover 1980; Clarke and Brandon 1996). Multiple comparison tests (e.g., ANOVA or Dunnett's) should not be used.

2) Comparison to the appropriate reference datasets (using the 5th [or 95th depending on metric] percentile values) after appropriate screening of reference samples as described above. Additional percentiles can be included in the uncertainty section to assist in bounding assessment uncertainties.

Additionally, the uncertainty section should clearly state that the LPR *Ampelisca* test did not follow the standard ASTM/EPA protocol and likely underestimates toxicity compared to reference.

Sediment Chemistry: Sediment chemistry is an important component of the sediment quality triad. The draft BERA compared sediment chemistry data to NJDEP Sediment Quality Criteria, which are used for screening purposes. The comparison criteria that should be used are T20 and T50 values and the chemistry for each individual sample should be evaluated (Field et al 2002). An additional statistical evaluation, that does not receive a scoring value as part of the SQT, should be conducted. As discussed on a previous call, a multivariate analysis should be conducted, possibly in conjunction with a multiple regression analysis. The bivariate Spearman Rank Correlation analysis can also be included, however interpretive issues related to potentially inadequate samples sizes (i.e., related to statistical power) should be discussed in the risk characterization section. Two methods that were identified by the CPG were principal component analysis and cluster analysis. EPA would need to review the approach being implemented to ensure that the methodology and parameters are acceptable. A third line of evidence that was presented in the BERA, AVS-SEM, should also be retained.

SQT Weighting: There are three categories for the sediment quality triad (Benthic Community, Sediment Toxicity and Sediment Chemistry) with each category having multiple metrics evaluated. Each category should have equal weighting in the analysis so that no one category can result in an impacted or not impacted result. A value of 1 is assigned for each category and the values for each metric are divided equally (see table below). For each station, a SQT score will be calculated and compared to the classification system listed below.

Category	Metric	Value
Benthic Community (est/fw)	Abundance	0.2/0.16
	Richness	0.2/0.16
	Diversity	0.2/0.16
	Evenness	0.2/0.16
	Dominance	0.2/0.16
	Tolerance of Environmental Stress	na/0.16
Sediment toxicity	<i>A. abdita</i> survival – estuarine	0.33
	<i>H. azteca</i> survival – estuarine	0.33
	<i>H. azteca</i> biomass – estuarine	0.33
	<i>C. dilutus</i> survival – freshwater	0.25
	<i>C. dilutus</i> biomass - freshwater	0.25
	<i>H. azteca</i> survival - freshwater	0.25
	<i>H. azteca</i> biomass - freshwater	0.25

Sediment chemistry	Comparison to T20 and T50 values	0 = no exceedance 0.5 = (low) exceedance of T20 1 = (high) exceedance of T50
Classification system should be: No impact 0 - 0.75 Low impact 0.75 - 1.5 Medium impact 1.5-2.25 High impact 2.25- 3.0		

References

Clarke, Joan U. and Dennis L. Brandon. 1996. Applications Guide for Statistical Analyses in Dredged Sediment Evaluations. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station. Technical Report D-96-January 1996.

Conover, W.J. 1980. Practical Nonparametric Statistics, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Weisberg, S.B., J.A. Ranasinghe, J.S. O'Connor, D.A. Adams. 1998. A benthic index of biotic integrity (B-IBI) for the New York/New Jersey Harbor. Appendix C in Adams, D.A., O'Connor, J.S., Weisberg, S.B. 1998. Sediment quality of the NY/NJ Harbor System. EPA/902-R-98-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2, Edison, NJ.

Field, J.L., D.D. MacDonald, S.B. Norton, C.G. Ingersoll, C.G. Severn, D. Smorong and R.Lindskoog. 2002. Predicting Amphipod Toxicity from Sediment Chemistry Using Logistic Regression Models. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Vol. 21, No. 9. Pp. 1993-2005.

MacDonald, D.D., C.G. Ingersoll and T.A. Berger. 2000. Development and Evaluation of Consensus-Based Sediment Quality Guidelines for Freshwater Ecosystems. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 39, 20-31.

Zar, Jerrold H. 1996. Biostatistical Analysis, Third Edition. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.